Formalizing the Ring of Witt Vectors

Johan Commelin Robert Y. Lewis

Certified Programs and Proofs Jan 17, 2021





Overview

We have:

- defined the type of Witt vectors $\mathbb{W}R$ over a base ring R
- \blacksquare defined the ring structure on $\mathbb{W}R$
- lacksquare proved that $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$

in the Lean proof assistant.

In this talk we will:

- explain why this is an achievement.
- see some techniques that made this formalization possible.

We will not:

- cover much of the mathematics of Witt vectors.
- see many details of the formalization.

<u>|</u>

Mathematical maturity

Witt vectors are a "mathematically mature" topic.

- Don't try to unfold all the details.
- Follow high level strategies.

Proof assistants aren't good at this! How to formalize?

- Isolate the mathematics underlying these strategies.
- Write meta code that simulates the reasoning patterns.

Defining Witt vectors

Fix a ring R and prime p. A p-typical Witt vector over R is a sequence of elements of R:

$$x = (\ldots x_2, x_1, x_0)$$
 $x_i \in R$

We can add, subtract, and multiply Witt vectors:

$$x + y = (\dots S_2(x_2, x_1, x_0, y_2, y_1, y_0), S_1(x_1, x_0, y_1, y_0), S_0(x_0, y_0))$$

where $\{S_i\}$ is a family of polynomials depending on p.

The details here are intricate.

Witt vector API

To make use of Witt vectors you need some operations:

```
Teichmüller \tau:R \to \mathbb{W}R r \mapsto (\dots,0,0,r) multiplicative, zero preserving Verschiebung V:\mathbb{W}R \to \mathbb{W}R (\dots,x_2,x_1,x_0) \mapsto (\dots,x_1,x_0,0) additive scalar multiplication [n]:\mathbb{W}R \to \mathbb{W}R x \mapsto n \cdot x additive Frobenius F:\mathbb{W}R \to \mathbb{W}R lift r \mapsto r^p to \mathbb{W}R ring hom ghost map W:\mathbb{W}R \to (\mathbb{N} \to R) apply nth Witt polynomial ring hom, not injective
```

Challenge! How to prove properties of these operations without digging too deep into the definition of ring operations?

Strategies for proving Witt vector operation identities

Strategy 1

- 1. First prove the identity for rings *R* in which *p* is invertible.
- 2. Then prove the identity for polynomial rings over the integers.
- 3. Finally, use the natural surjective ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[(X_r)_{r\in R}] \to R$ to deduce the identity for arbitrary rings R.

Strategy 2

- 1. Ignore the fact that the ghost map is not injective in general.
- 2. Apply the ghost map to both sides of the identity, and prove that the resulting claim is true in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Strategy 2: high risk, high reward

Hazewinkel writes:

There are pitfalls in calculating with ghost components as is done here. Such a calculation gives a valid proof of an identity or something else only if it is a universal calculation; that is, makes no use of any properties beyond those that follow from the axioms for a unital commutative ring only.

Mathematical maturity: if you don't know what you're doing, following this strategy is dangerous!

Polynomial functions

Definition

Let $f_R \colon \mathbb{W}R \to \mathbb{W}R$ be a family of functions where R ranges over all commutative rings. f_R is a polynomial function if there is a family of polynomials $\varphi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, \ldots]$ such that for every commutative ring R and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (\ldots x_1, x_0) \in \mathbb{W}R$,

$$f_R(x)_n = \varphi_n(x_0, x_1, \ldots).$$

Theorem (extensionality)

Let $f_R, g_R : \mathbb{W}R \to \mathbb{W}R$ be polynomial functions. If for all $x \in \mathbb{W}\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$w_n(f_{\mathbb{Z}}(x)) = w_n(g_{\mathbb{Z}}(x)),$$

then $f_R = g_R$ for every ring R.

Strategy 2, refined

Strategy 2

- Show that both sides of the identity are polynomial functions.
- Use extensionality to reduce this to a computation on ghost components.

Why is this good?

- Polynomial functions are well behaved under composition.
- Calculations on ghost components are mostly mechanical.

These identity proofs become almost completely automatic.

Identity proofs, automated

```
/-- The "projection formula" for Frobenius and Verschiebung. -/
lemma verschiebung_mul_frobenius (x y : W R) :
    verschiebung (x * frobenius y) = verschiebung x * y :=
by { ghost_calc x y, rintro \( \rangle \); ghost_simp [mul_assoc] }
```

Identity proofs, automated

```
/-- The "projection formula" for Frobenius and Verschiebung. -/
lemma verschiebung_mul_frobenius (x y : W R) :
    verschiebung (x * frobenius y) = verschiebung x * y :=
by { ghost_calc x y, rintro (); ghost_simp [mul_assoc] }
p : \mathbb{N}
_inst_1 : fact (nat.prime p)
R : Type u_1
_inst_2 : comm_ring R
x y : witt_vector p R
\vdash \land \text{verschiebung} (x * \land \text{frobenius } y) = \land \text{verschiebung } x * y
```

Identity proofs, automated

```
/-- The "projection formula" for Frobenius and Verschiebung. -/
lemma verschiebung_mul_frobenius (x y : W R) :
    verschiebung (x * frobenius y) = verschiebung x * y :=
by { ghost_calc x y, rintro \( \rangle \); ghost_simp [mul_assoc] }
p : \mathbb{N}
_inst_1 : fact (nat.prime p)
R : Type u_1
R._inst : comm_ring R
x y : witt_vector p R
\vdash \forall (n : N), \uparrow (ghost_component n) \uparrow (verschiebung (x * \uparrow frobenius y)) =
    \uparrow(ghost_component n) \uparrow(verschiebung x * y)
```

It works!

The ring of Witt vectors over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the ring of p-adic integers:

```
def equiv : \mathbb{W} (zmod p) \simeq +* \mathbb{Z}_{p} := ...
```

U

Concluding thoughts

- We can formalize mathematically mature topics with the right idioms.
- $lue{}$ \sim 3500 LOC specifically on Witt vectors corresponds to 7 dense pages of Hazewinkel.
- A little metaprogramming goes a long way.